

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/039,147	01/04/2002	David W. Brown	P214021	9308
7590 07/21/2005		EXAMINER		
MICHAEL R. SCHACHT			SCUDERI, PHILIP S	
Suite 202 2801 Meridian S	Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BELLINGHAM, WA 98225-2412			2153	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/039,147	BROWN, DAVID W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip S. Scuderi	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO I, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 13 June 2005.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		,				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 June 2005 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of the cont	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objoin to the drawing(s) be held in abeya be correction is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been the large (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	9-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendments filed June 13, 2005. Claim 1 is amended. Claims 2-12 are new claims.

Drawings

Examiner has withdrawn the objections to the drawings because Applicant's amendments have overcome the objections.

Specification

Examiner has withdrawn the objections to the specification because Applicant's amendments have overcome the objections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Examiner has withdrawn the claim rejection under 35 USC § 112 because Applicant's amendments have overcome the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2153

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato et al. (EP 821522 A2, hereinafter "Sato").

Regarding claim 1, Sato teaches a system for transferring a hardware independent service request between a client application and a motion control system using a communications network, comprising:

- o a client build module (p. 3 lines 52-53, a web browser) for building a service request envelope (p. 4 line 15, file name "P20T5Z2.gif") for containing the hardware independent service request (file name "P20T5Z2.gif" contains no hardware dependent instructions), where
 - o the hardware independent service request is associated with a service performed by the motion control system (p. 4 lines 24-25), and
 - o the client build module transmits the service request envelope across the communications network (abstract lines 1-3, the Internet);
- o a service request format module (fig. 1 command interpreter 1012) for extracting the hardware independent service request from the service request envelope, converting the hardware independent service request into a hardware independent service request method (p. 4 lines 18-23, an image sensing command), and invoking the hardware independent service request method (p. 4 lines 18-23); wherein
- o the motion control system comprises a motion services module (fig. 1 camera controller 1017) that converts the hardware independent service request into a hardware dependent motion command (p. 4 lines 24-25); and
- o the motion control system operates in response to the hardware dependent motion command to perform the service associated with the service request (p. 4 lines 24-25).

Art Unit: 2153

Regarding claim 2, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 1, in which the service request format module receives a return value from the motion control system in response to the service request (p. 4 lines 25-26, the captured image), builds a response envelope containing the return value (p. 4 line 26, the HTTP response), and transmits the response envelope to the client application (p. 4 line 26).

Regarding claim 3, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 1, in which the service request format module invokes the service request method on the motion control system across a communications network (the network of components in fig. 1 (1017, 1015, 1012, etc.)).

Regarding claim 4, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 1, in which the service request format module invokes the service request method on the motion control system across a process boundary (command interpreter 1012 and camera controller 1017 are disclosed as separate components, thus a command from 1010 to 1017 must cross a process boundary between the two components).

Regarding claim 5, Sato teaches that the service request format module (command interpreter 1012) invokes the service request method (the image sensing command) within a single process (fig. 2 – step (i.e. process) S102).

Application/Control Number: 10/039,147

Art Unit: 2153

Regarding claim 6, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 1, further comprising a packaging module (fig. 1 – command interpreter 1012) that converts the service request into a service request method (p. 4 lines 18-23).

Regarding claim 7, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 1, further comprising a data format module (fig. 1 – command interpreter 1012) that converts service request data between a first data format associated with the communications network and a second data format associated with the motion control system (p. 4 lines 18-23, HTML to an image sensing command).

Regarding claim 8, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 1, further comprising a method discovery module (p. 3 lines 52-53, a web browser) for determining a set of services supported by the motion control system (by requesting the image the web browser determines that the motion control system supports retrieving images from the camera).

Regarding claim 9, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 1, further comprising a data management module (fig. 1 – timer 1015) between the client build module and the service request module (see fig. 1), where the data management module manages service requests (timing the image sensing associated w/ the requests, see fig. 5).

Regarding claim 10, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 9, in which the data management module further routes service requests to a database (fig. 1 – image memory 1019) for persistent storage (fig. 5 – S206, service requests translate to images and are stored in image memory before being sent back to the client).

Regarding claim 11, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 10, further comprising a data caching module (fig. 1 – external device 1002) for processing data stored in the database (p. 4 line 27, the external device sends (i.e. processes) the image data from image memory back to the client).

Regarding claim 12, Sato teaches the system applied to claim 7, further comprising:

- o a data management module (fig. 1 timer 1015) between the client build module and the service request module (see fig. 1), where the data management module manages service requests (timing the image sensing associated w/ the requests, see fig. 5);
- o a database (fig. 1 image memory 1019) for persistently storing services requests (fig. 5 S206, service requests translate to images and are stored in image memory before being sent back to the client); and
- o a data caching module (fig. 1 external device 1002) for processing data stored in the database (p. 4 line 27, the external device sends (i.e. processes) the image data from image memory back to the client).

Response to Arguments

Applicant contends that Sato does not teach a hardware independent service request that is included in a service request envelope, used to create a service request method, and converted into a hardware dependent motion command. Applicant contends that, to the contrary, Sato's disclosure is highly hardware dependent.

In response, Examiner notes that (1) the specification does not define "hardware independent", leaving the term open to interpretation, and (2) Applicant has not fully explained the contention that Sato's disclosure cannot reasonably be considered hardware independent.

The service request is merely a file name at the end of a URL that is decoded into hardware instructions that control the camera. The user that requests the URL does not send instructions that are unique to a particular type of camera. Therefore, the service request can reasonable be considered hardware independent and claim 1 stands rejected.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip S. Scuderi whose telephone number is (571) 272-5865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-5pm.

Art Unit: 2153

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton B. Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

PSS

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner